

has allowed a large number of whiteflies to survive. Although imidacloprid was recently registered as an alternative to control the SWF, a single soil application of imidacloprid will not adequately protect the crop throughout the full production cycle. Imidacloprid is systemic and can be taken up by the seedling as it emerges through the soil, and will have minimal impact on beneficial predators and parasites of the whitefly. Foliar application will be needed to provide additional control of whiteflies later in the season. It might be possible to utilize imidacloprid for these foliar applications, but its mode of action is such that development of resistance is a major concern. The mode of action of this product depends on its ability to interact with a specific neuroreceptor of the pest. Should pest populations develop in which this neuroreceptor is altered, resistance would result. Bayer is well aware of this fact and will not support continued use of their product later into the vegetable growing season. The Applicant believes the use of bifenthrin as a foliar spray in combination with imidacloprid at planting will provide excellent control of whiteflies. Without the use of bifenthrin, the Applicant claims that growers will suffer significant economic loss this growing season.

Under the proposed exemption, a maximum of four applications per crop season for lettuce, and a maximum of 5 applications per crop season for cauliflower would be made at [0.08 to 0.1 lb of active ingredient (a.i./A)] (5.2 to 6.4 fl. ozs. of product per acre) by ground or air equipment. Not to apply within 20 days of harvest. Do not apply by ground equipment within 25 feet or by air within 150 feet of lakes, reservoirs, rivers, permanent streams or natural ponds estuaries and commercial fish farms. A 200-yard buffer shall be observed around aquatic habitats containing endangered species (desert pupfish, woundfin and Gila topminnow).

This notice does not constitute a decision by EPA on the application itself. The regulations governing section 18 require that the Agency publish notice of receipt in the **Federal Register** and solicit public comment on an application for a specific exemption if an emergency exemption has been requested or granted for that use in any 3 previous years, and a complete application for registration of that use has not been submitted to the Agency [40 CFR 166.24(a)(6)]. Exemptions for the use of bifenthrin on lettuce have been requested and granted for the past 3 years, and an application for registration

of this use has not been submitted to the Agency.

A record has been established for this notice under docket number "[OPP-180979]" (including comments and data submitted electronically as described below). A public version of this record, including printed, paper versions of electronic comments, which does not include any information claimed as CBI, is available for inspection from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The public record is located in Room 1132 of the Public Response and Program Resources Branch, Field Operations Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA.

Electronic comments can be sent directly to EPA at:

opp-docket@epamail.epa.gov

Electronic comments must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption.

The official record for this notice, as well as the public version, as described above will be kept in paper form. Accordingly, EPA will transfer all comments received electronically into printed, paper form as they are received and will place the paper copies in the official record which will also include all comments submitted directly in writing. The official record is the paper record maintained at the address in "ADDRESSES" at the beginning of this document.

Accordingly, interested persons may submit written views on this subject to the Field Operations Division at the address above. The Agency will review and consider all comments received during the comment period in determining whether to issue the emergency exemption requested by the Arizona Department of Agriculture.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Pesticides and pests, Crisis exemptions.

Dated: August 18, 1995.

Susan Lewis,

Acting Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 95-21412 Filed 8-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Notice of Agreement(s) Filed

The Federal Maritime Commission hereby gives notice of the filing of the

following agreement(s) pursuant to section 5 of the Shipping Act of 1984.

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of each agreement at the Washington, DC Office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 800 North Capitol Street NW., 9th Floor. Interested parties may submit comments on each agreement to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, DC 20573, within 10 days after the date of the **Federal Register** in which this notice appears. The requirements for comments are found in section 572.603 of Title 46 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Interested persons should consult this section before communicating with the Commission regarding a pending agreement.

Agreement No.: 203-011511

Title: PISCES Service Agreement

Parties: Members of the Asia North America Eastbound Rate Agreement ("ANERA"):

A.P. Moller-Maersk Line
American President Lines, Ltd.
Hapag Lloyd AG
Kawasaki Kisen Kaisha Ltd.
Mitsui O.S.K. Lines, Ltd.
Nedlloyd Lijnen B.V.
Neptune Orient Lines Ltd.
Nippon Yusen Kaisha
Orient Overseas Container Line, Inc.
Sea-Land Service, Inc.

Parties to PISCES, Limited Liability Company ("PISCES"):

American President Lines, Ltd.
Combined Data Resource, Inc.
"K" Line America, Inc.
OOCL (USA) Inc.

Synopsis: The proposed Agreement would permit PISCES, a limited liability company formed pursuant to the Pacific Information Systems Agreement, FMC Agreement No. 203-011399, to provide information systems to ANERA, its members, and their customers. The parties would be authorized to agree upon the purchase, lease, sale, maintenance and repair, etc. of information systems among themselves or others as appropriate to implement the Agreement. The parties have requested a shortened review period.

Dated: August 24, 1995.

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Joseph C. Polking,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-21462 Filed 8-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730-01-M

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM**Provident Bancorp; Acquisition of Company Engaged in Permissible Nonbanking Activities**

The organization listed in this notice has applied under § 225.23(a)(2) or (f) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.23(a)(2) or (f)) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.21(a)) to acquire or control voting securities or assets of a company engaged in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, such activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

The application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

Comments regarding the application must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than September 13, 1995.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland (John J. Wixted, Jr., Vice President) 1455 East Sixth Street, Cleveland, Ohio 44101:

1. *Provident Bancorp*, Cincinnati, Ohio; to acquire Mathematical Investment Management, Inc., Cleveland, Ohio, and thereby engage in investment advisory and securities brokerage activities, pursuant to §§ 225.25(b)(4) and 225.25(b)(15), of the Board's Regulation Y.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, August 24, 1995.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 95-21445 Filed 8-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-F

United Security Bancorporation; Notice of Application to Engage de novo in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The company listed in this notice has filed an application under § 225.23(a)(1) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.23(a)(1)) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.21(a)) to commence or to engage *de novo*, either directly or through a subsidiary, in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, such activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

The application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

Comments regarding the application must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than September 13, 1995.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco (Kenneth R. Binning, Director, Bank Holding Company) 101 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94105:

1. *United Security Bancorporation*, Spokane, Washington; to engage *de*

novo through its subsidiary, USB Mortgage Company, Inc., Spokane, Washington, in mortgage lending services and real estate contract purchases and sales, pursuant to § 225.25(b)(1) of the Board's Regulation Y; escrow activities, pursuant to § 225.25(b)(3) of the Board's Regulation Y; and appraisal services, pursuant to § 225.25(b)(13) of the Board's Regulation Y.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, August 24, 1995.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 95-21446 Filed 8-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-F

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION**Change in Solicitation Procedures Under the Small Business Competitiveness Demonstration Program**

AGENCY: Office of Acquisition Policy, GSA.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Title VII of the "Business Opportunity Development Reform Act of 1988" (Pub. L. 100-656) established the Small Business Competitiveness Demonstration Program and designated nine (9) agencies, including GSA, to conduct the program over a four (4) year period from January 1, 1989 to December 31, 1992. The Small Business Opportunity Enhancement Act of 1992 (Pub. L. 102-366) extended the demonstration program until September 1996 and made certain changes in the procedures for operation of the demonstration program. The law designated four (4) industry groups for testing whether the competitive capabilities of the specified industry groups will enable them to successfully compete on an unrestricted basis. The four (4) industry groups are: Construction (except dredging); architectural and engineering (A&E) services (including surveying and mapping); refuse systems and related services (limited to trash/garbage collection); and non-nuclear ship repair. Under the program, when a participating agency misses its small business participation goal, restricted competition is reinstituted only for those contracting activities that failed to attain the goal. The small business goal is 40 percent of the total contract dollars awarded for construction, trash/garbage collection services, and non-nuclear ship repair and 35 percent of the total contract dollars awarded for architect-